english

MINUTES OF THE CONTINUATION OF THE VIRTUAL GENERAL ASSEMBLY 3

Of FIMEM - SUNDAY 30TH AUGUST 2020.

06:53 MEXICO

07:53 CANADA

12:00 CAMEROON.

13:00 CENTRAL EUROPE.

REPORT AG3

Attendees

It begins with 76 participants from the various movements incorporated into

FIMEM, already listed yesterday.

Background

There are technical problems due to a power failure that prevents the use of the

MMEM platform so it is only possible to start 45 minutes late due to the platform

change.

Lanfranco takes a roll call to check the number of thinners, being present in

sufficient number to validate the assembly.

Gaby Varaldi informs that Lulu Muller will start as president and then she specifies

the form of participation that the commission of the AG3 has decided for today's

assembly with the intention of making it more fluid and to solve the greatest

number of points. It is requested:

- To participate only once per topic, either for presentation or opinion.

- To speak little and not to repeat, since there are still many topics to be

considered.

- Use a maximum of three minutes to present a topic.

- Limit participation to one minute for those who give their opinion.

- Ask for the floor electronically by pressing the raised hand.

Agenda

1. Roll Call

2. Benaiges Scholarship

3. Finance

4. Debts of Benin and Haiti

5. New AC

6. RIDEF 2022 Morocco.

Benaiges Scholarship

Flor Zaldumbide gives a report on her work regarding the Benaiges Scholarship,

Explaining in what the scholarship consists of, whose objective has to financially

support for research work, teacher training and publications on Freinet pedagogy, and

in general on her work carried out, showing a presentation in ppt justifying her

intervention in the Benaiges Scholarship.

The MCEP communicates that it does not understand why the CA has decided

that Flor is part of a jury already integrated as it was exposed yesterday, its

delegate Alfredo López Bux, asks to look ahead and presents the Spanish motion

requesting:

- That there be a responsible of the CA, preferably the president, who is in charge

of calling it, following it up, spreading it and being a member of the jury.

- To immediately call for the Benaiges scholarship for 2020 which should be in

place since January.

- Keep the scholarship site updated on the FIMEM website

- Infofrm that if Flor Zaldumbide assumes any position in the Beca Benaiges, the

CA will have problems with the MCEP and the Asociación Benaiges for the

reasons already mentioned.

- The MCEP rejects the proposal of the CA about the auditing commission because

it considers that the jury is already a commission by itself.

- To carry out a cooperative work between the MCEP and the Asociación Escuela

Benaiges.

The following observations are made:

Several people ask to speak, both in the chat and by hand.

Briggita Koverman asks that Flor continue until 2022 to resolve the case.

Mariel comments that she went up to the site and sent a document to the

delegates about the context of the Benaiges Scholarship and proceeded to present

it on screen and read the text entitled "Broad Context and History of the Benaiges

Situation" before casting her vote.

Guiancarlo pointed out that co-optation - a word that comes in the document

mentioned above - is an invention of this CA and that it does not exist as such in

FIMEM.

The MEPA, in the voice of Ricardo and Juan Carlos, points out that Flor's invitation

to the CA does not have the legitimacy or support of the MEPA and that the CA let

them know that the invitation was in a personal capacity and not through the

movement, that she was "co-opted" individually.

It is also reported that Flor is no longer part of the MEPA from which she has been

excluded, a decision that was communicated to the CA in due course.

Mariel informs that for the CA Flor continues to be a member as Sylviane was in

2014, for which reason she should be integrated as a member of the jury. It is not

explained how Flor has to decide between the CA and the MEPA.

Flor proposes to address the MEPA issue at the end of this assembly in the

general affairs.

No one else is accepted and a vote is taken.

Voting on the MCEP Motion

5 abstentions

0 against

21 in favor of the motion

FINANCE.

DEBTS OF BENIN AND HAITI

Before going into each of the debts, there are several comments about the need to

look for ways that these can be repaid, at least in a symbolic way through jobs or

translations.

Gaby expresses her concern that solidarity could be misinterpreted by living it as a

privilege and not as a commitment; she thinks that non-payment should have some

consequence for debtors, because their action affects all those countries that are

beneficiaries of solidarity and proposes that those who have not complied should

be prevented from applying again for a period of time, for example one or two

RIDEFs.

A) BENIN

Edouard Dohou of Benin, says he is concerned about the debt issue and did not

understand whether they had to repay it or not.

Three of the African representatives are calling for debt cancellation.

Thierno agrees with the cancellation of Benin's debt due to the pandemic

Sadith asks for clemency because Benin has respected the rules while Haiti has

not.

Anna D., comments that Benin should reimburse with work and not be excluded

from solidarity. It is proposed that this be a symbolic repayment and that the rest of

the debt to FIMEM be cancelled.

Gaby says that it cannot be cancelled because it would be a negative precedent for

all those who do not comply even though they have received the support of the

federation.

Anna D'Auria from Italy, protests because the CA did not resolve previously and

also because the order of participation to speak is not respected.

It seems to the MCE that it would be good that Benin can give back to the FIMEM

even with jobs and does not agree that the possibility of solidarity is reduced.

Tere puts a protest because the rules of the assembly are not followed because

they open the microphone, even if it is not their time to speak and there are failures

to raise their hand electronically. She has asked for the floor five times to speak

about the Benaiges issue without being granted it.

As for Benin, Tere asks about the payment they promised to make and the offer

Duran made regarding this debt. She also comments that Benin could pay its debt

with work in the RIDEF.

Mariel. Shower. Canada. She comments that the opinion of the CA should be

sought, that although the situation is different, a common decision should be made

in both cases, and a way should be established in case there are new cases.

Brigitta comments that she agrees that this debt should be cancelled as it has been

done with other European cases.

The ICEM motion is voted on and remains:

3 against

3 abstentions

18 in favor of ICEM's motion

B) HAITI

There is no contact with this movement, it is believed that it has already

disappeared.

There is no possibility of intervention, we will have neither partial dismissal nor

contribution, I believe that the movement no longer exists, says Giancarlo.

Lulu notes that since there are different opinions, it is suggested that we move on

to the next point.

Gabi, proposes that if it is possible to vote on whether or not to cancel Haiti's debt

Vote to cancel Haiti's debt

7 abstentions

9 against

8 in favor of condoning

In view of the result of the vote, the delegates who abstained are asked if they wish

to make a statement in one or the other direction, as there is no response, the

issue is left pending.

ELECTION OF THE NEW CA

Discussion on the valuation of the vote if it is by 2/3 proposed by Germany or 50%

+1.

Due to technical problems the private choice cannot be made.

We enter into the debate on whether to decide by two thirds or with half plus one.

Tere proposes to decide what percentage of the vote will be used.

Remi ICEM, agrees and remembers that the statutes clearly state that half plus

one.

Giancarlo reinforces by saying that it is so in the statutes.

Sadi. Äfrica, says that although it is in the bylaws, if it is done at two-thirds, the

candidates would have more credibility.

The delegates voted for 50% + 1 to make decisions and ask that these be

respected.

The first part of the assembly ended with 96 attendees.

It ends at 10:17 a.m. to see if it is possible to solve the technical problem and Gaby

asks to take a short break and return an hour earlier than planned to make up for

lost time at the start. It is approved.

Secretaries/Rapporteurs.

Alba Luz Jurado Méndez. Hortensia Fernández Fuentes

MEPA. Chihuahua, Mexico. MEPA. Puebla, Mexico.

FRANCÉS.

COMPTE RENDU DE LA SUITE DE L'ASSEMBLÉE GÉNÉRALE VIRTUELLE 3

DU FIMEM. DIMANCHE 30 AOÛT 2020.

06:53 MEXIQUE

07:53 CANADA

12 H 00 CAMEROUN.

13:00 EUROPE CENTRALE.