

Virtual AG FIMEM 2020
AG2b french-speaking Group - Part 2
thursday, August 11 2020, 14h-16h30 (Paris time)

Plateforme ZOOM

Participants : 33

Agnès Joyeux (ICEM, France)	Karine Jaffré (ICEM, France)
Aïssatou Dièye (ASEM, Sénégal)	Léonard De Leo (ICEM, France)
Asma Id Babou (AMEM, Maroc)	Marguerite Gomez (ICEM, France)
Basile Kahouhou (ABEM, Bénin)	Mohamed Id Babou (AMEM, Maroc)
Belli Naima (AMEM, Maroc)	Nicolas Mathey (ICEM, France)
Catherine Hurtig-Delattre (ICEM, France)	Nobuhisa Suzuki (GGUJ, Japon)
Cheikh Makhfousse Seck (ASEM, Sénégal)	Papa Meïssa Hanne (ASEM, Sénégal)
Christophe Amoussou (ABEM, Bénin)	Pascaline Mouti Mouto (ABEM, Bénin)
Coffi Ghislain Ballo (ABEM, Bénin)	Paterne Tapka (ABEM, Bénin)
Edouard Dohou (ABEM, Bénin)	Rémi Brault (ICEM, France)
Elena Klepneva (AREM, Russie)	Sadikh Diaw (ASEM, Sénégal)
Florence Hodohalo Patchidi (MOUVEN, Togo)	Samie Essohanam (MOUVEN, Togo)
Ghislain Ballo (ABEM, Bénin)	Sofia Lahlou (SKASIARHEIO, Grèce)
Gwenaëlle Bérillon (ICEM, France)	Sylviane Amiet (CA FIMEM)
Henry Landroit (Education populaire, Belgique)	Thierno Abdoulaye Ba (ASEM, Sénégal)
Joël Potin (ADF)	Touaadi Ali (AMEM, Maroc)
Jean Dayé (ABEM, Bénin)	Yacouba Ouedraogo (MBEM, Burkina Faso)

Excused : Antoinette Mengue Abesso (CA FIMEM), Saliou Sarr ASEM, Sénégal), Sylvie Cartier (CQEM, Canada).

Welcome : 1 :30 p.m. **Session begins :** 2:00 p.m. **Session ends :** 4:30 p.m. (Paris time)

Rules of communication reminder

Task distribution

Coordination of the french-speaking group : Léonard De Leo

Attribution of the right to speak : Asma Id Babou

Time keeper : Asma Id Babou

Note taking : Thierno Abdoulaye Ba ASEM + Cheikh Makhfousse Seck + Aïssatou Dièye + Nicolas Mathey

Translation : Marguerite Gomez (espagnol), Sylvie Cartier (english), Nicolas Mathey (english)

Agenda items : (15 mn per items)

- debts of the Beninese movement and the Haitian movement
- request for affiliation from the Ghana and Congo (DRC) Movements
- candidacies for the board
- choice of FIMEM treasurer
- calculation of membership fees

Regulatory points (15 min per item)

- Accounting balance sheet and estimated budget
- Moral report
- Assessment and prospective orientations
- Benaiges scholarship

Communication of minutes from the French-speaking group to other language groups before GA3

From the outset of the meeting, it was a question of deciding on the sharing of reports from French-speaking groups with other language groups.

Regarding the sharing of reports, opinions are divided (6 votes out of 33 oppose it because they think it is premature). For some participants here is no danger of sharing the reports because they will be forwarded to the Board. Reminder by Leonardo that a language group has already shared its CR. Rémi gives the frame back.

NB: For this difference of opinion on sharing, we need a consensual decision in the absence of a vote. Asma proposes to make the decision after, following the end of the drafting of the official report.

Léonard asks that the assembly vote on each of the items presented by ASEM.

Items discussed	Interventions - discussions
<p>Debts of the Beninese movements and the Haitian movement: Are there any movements that would like to provide additional information?</p>	<p>For the ICEM, the prescribed rules must be respected. ABEM-BENIN must offer its repayment capacities. Even if the idea of debt cancellation is proposed, Benin may, for example, face repayment in the form of payment at an amount equal to three times its annual membership fee.</p> <p>ASEM suggests that FIMEM produce a framework document explaining what debts are made up of.</p> <p>The representative of the FIMEM board at this meeting indicates that the document on debts is clear so there is no need to edit it. It is therefore up to the movements to vote in the AGV3 and not the language groups.</p> <p>Concerning Haiti, the ICEM proposes that the Haitian movement regulate the amount of its contribution and not the reimbursement of the amount allocated by solidarity.</p> <p>The Belgian group proposes that the Haitian movement pay its contribution and go in search of the indelicate comrade to demand reimbursement.</p> <p>One of the ICEM delegates asked the question of possible steps taken by the Board or by the Haitian movement to turn against the person who used the FIMEM money for personal purposes.</p>
<p>Request for affiliation from the Movements of Ghana and Congo (DRC)</p>	<p>Regarding Ghana, the participants returned to two characteristics that seem to hinder the charter of the modern school, which are the private and religious character.</p> <p>For some participants, the Ghanaian movement is exclusively made up of teachers working in the private sector where purely pecuniary interest prevails.</p> <p>On the religious side, secularism remains and remains a basic principle in the movements of the Modern School.</p>

	<p>NB: even if the sponsorship remains free, some think that it would be more judicious for Ghana to get closer to neighboring movements such as MOUVEN- Togo, ABEM- Benin which supported it in its creation, and not Sweden. According to Sylviane, the choice of Sweden as sponsor is motivated by a linguistic rapprochement (English) not used by its African neighbors. Similarly, this rapprochement is motivated by Sweden, which invited him to RIDEF in 2018 and which participated in the training of its members</p> <p>Regarding the DR Congo, his request for membership dating from 2012, remains unanswered. FIMEM texts on sponsorship must be made available to movements. It is in this sense that Papa Meissa HANNE thinks that FIMEM must work on the question of sponsorship (therefore, a publication to be disseminated) and what are the responsibilities of a country which sponsors a movement which does not exist or which does not fulfill not the conditions. In addition, he insisted that the Congolese movement be integrated and helped.</p> <p>NB: the conditions for sponsoring a movement are based on monitoring and support in its educational training by the sponsor according to Sylviane</p> <p>Henry: It shouldn't be too difficult to check whether the members of the Ghanaian movement are all in Methodist schools or only a few of them. In any case, it is clear that Freinet's pedagogy is not compatible with a religious system which does not allow free expression.</p>
<p>FIMEM Board candidacies</p>	<p>The ICEM is in favor of increasing the number of board members. He proposes the validation of all the applications submitted and wishes that we go from 5 to 7 or 9 members. Nevertheless, the ICEM delegates were surprised by the reasons for Antoinette's candidacy, which she herself presented at the previous meeting as external to the work of the Board itself, justified candidacy by seeking recognition of the Cameroonian movement in his country. This is the reason why two out of four ICEM delegates will abstain and the other two will nevertheless approve this candidacy because they have every confidence in Antoinette's implementation of her experience in the service of the Board.</p> <p>For Mohamed, 3 African candidacies for the board are almost 60% of new candidates. Probably, Morocco proposes to seek a certain balance whereby an increase in the number of members for the new board which can go to 7 or 9.</p> <p>According to Sylviane, even if it seems possible, Africans sometimes have connection difficulties that must be resolved because work at the Board requires a good connection.</p> <p>NB: any board member must set aside their interests in their own movement and have a global approach to the affairs of FIMEM.</p> <p>By the way, given the current situation of closing of candidatures, it is necessary to co-opt new experienced members but taking into account their spoken language.</p> <p>We are now in a position to try a CA of at least 8 members where Europe, Africa, Latin America at least would be represented.</p>

<p>Choice of FIMEM Treasurer</p>	<p>This item was canceled because it emanates from the exclusive responsibility of the Board.</p>
<p>Calculation of movement contributions (Membership fees)</p>	<p>Regarding the calculation of dues, even if the ICEM demonstrated in its document a new method of calculating contributions, Sylviane regretted the fact that the ICEM did not get involved from the start by integrating the commission. This approach will cost us 2 years before the adoption of this new calculation method. Rémi intends to join commission 4.</p>
<p>Regulatory issues</p>	<p>The balance sheet and estimated budget:</p> <p>Regarding the balance sheet, it was found that the financial report shows that there is no income or losses in 2020. However, it is only from its results that the provisional budget can be established. For AMEM. He urges to give information on the finances of the RIDEF of 2020.</p> <p>Sylviane informs that the final reports document will be available on the site by the end of the year at the latest.</p> <p>The provisional budget and the schedule for the 2022 RIDEF preparatory meetings already made by AMEM lapsed last March due to the pandemic.</p> <p>AMEM requests the specifications of RIDEF 2022 from FIMEM and also intends to build on the experience of the two African RIDEFs of 2006 and 2016 and remains optimistic for its success by relying on CAMEM.</p> <p>Regarding the specifications which are not yet available, a FIMEM commission is looking into them according to Sylviane. For Cheikh, Morocco really needs the CO reports of RIDEF 2020 and he suggests that all African movements will help AMEM.</p> <p>The Theme and the provisional budget of the RIDEF for 2022 as well as the written record (cahier de route) are being built and will be available before AGV3 according to Mohamed.</p> <p>Regarding the theme, the speakers ask to review its proposed revisions because the introduction of distance education is not of proven relevance.</p> <p>NB: According to Henry, AMEM must pay attention to the introduction of distance education in Moroccan schools: we must often avoid being dictated by academics .</p>

	<p>Moral report: no interventions</p> <p>Orientations, report et perspectives :</p> <p>For Marguerite on the Orientations-prospective table 2020-2022, the ICEM proposes to add an additional column to the CA table in order to distinguish what falls under the objectives, intentions and what falls under the means to be implemented. This to make it more readable, to be able to work on it.</p> <p>Benaiges scholarship: We do not have all the information about the "Maestro Benaiges" scholarship.</p> <p>The AdF proposes to read all the documents emanating from the MCEP which can be found on the FIMEM site. They consider that there is no need to change the current organization before having all the elements Léonard clarified that the Benaiges scholarship has been added to the agenda.</p> <p>Sylviane and Cheikh proposed the creation of a commission for Benaiges composed on the one hand by a jury and on the other hand by administrators.</p> <p>Thierno from ASEM-SENEGAL, teaching visually impaired children, proposed to GLF to formulate a request for the Benaiges scholarship to include the help of its students in its program. As for Mohamed from AMEM-MOROCCO, he asks to clearly define the objective so that the movements can clearly work on this scholarship.</p>
<p>Review of the meetings of the French-speaking group and continuation of the work of AG2b</p>	<p>Motions:</p> <p>Due to lack of time, motions and orientations cannot be dealt with at this meeting. Do we need a 3rd meeting? Sylviane said she was surprised that we hadn't talked about the motions. For her, if they are not discussed, there will be comma and syntax problems plus there are plenty of inconvenient words in these motions.</p> <p>Marguerite of the ICEM proposes to define the priority axes of the orientations for the next 2 years.</p> <p>The orientations: the pandemic, the RIDEF du Québec, the REFA, ... What follow-up for migration policies?</p> <p>Recommendation: Even if the majority does not find it necessary to hold a 3rd meeting of the GLF, it is well recommended to continue the work in writing by messaging because the motions must be debated between us.</p> <p>Clarification: there are positional motions such as migration policies and the pandemic and also logistical motions such as means of communication.</p>

The choice of coordinators:

Léonard of ICEM-France was proposed as GLF Coordinator and Sheikh of ASEM-SENEGAL as his Substitute. Their role, among other things, is to act as a liaison between the CA and the GLF.

Report:

Nicolas from ICEM-FRANCE and Thierno from ASEM-SENEGAL will harmonize their note taking to provide a detailed report tomorrow before the summary report.

The reports will be in 2 versions: a verbatim version and a synthetic version. For the synthetic version, Marguerite, Thierno, Cheikh and Samie propose themselves.

NB: the reports will be distributed on the GLF list to allow members to amend them before validation.



***session's secretaries : Thierno Abdoulaye BA et Cheikh Makhfousse SECK - ASEM-SENEGAL
Nicolas MATHEY (ICEM, France)***

translation done by Sylvie Cartier (CQEM) and Nicolas Mathey